



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/610,690	06/30/2003	Charles J. Levine	MSFT-1797 (303687.01)	2925
41505 7590 08/13/2010 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891				
EXAMINER				
STACE, BRENT S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2161				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/13/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/610,690	Applicant(s) LEVINE ET AL.	
	Examiner BRENT STACE	Art Unit 2161	

All Participants:

(1) BRENT STACE.

(2) Kenneth Eiferman.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____.
(4) _____

Time: 2:05pm EST

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1, 11, 20, 21

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BRENT STACE/
Examiner, Art Unit 2161

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested clarity on claims 1 and 21 regarding exactly what is inputted and outputted from the deterministic data generation module and how the 2nd seed is "determined" for an attempt to allow the case. Also, the examiner requested support for these clarifying amendments and the amendments submitted 6/1/10 and identified 112 2nd paragraph rejections for Claim 9 and 10 that are warranted by the amendments to the claims submitted 6/1/10. Finally, the examiner identified Claims 11 and 20 as not appearing ready for allowance. The attorney desired to see a written office action instead of resolving issues on the phone.